LETTER OF THE ISSUE ... (this guy wrote in on Texaco stationary ... ha.ha.ha.)

LETTER OF THE ISSUE...(this guy wrote in on Texaco stationary...hs.hs.) Der Boz. I write to protest your unfair treatment of the Dead Kennedies in issue 40 of Suburban Relapse, in what was without a doubt the most miserable excuse for an article you've ever stooped to write and publish. All your dis-claimers that you're "not waging aws against the DKs" are to no avail, because its obvious that some sort of war is being waged, ferocious-ly; and no trick is so dirty or lowdown that you don't resort to it. You plaster your article with sarcastic leading remarks and insulting but unsupportable insinuations, such as "special exclusive shot of the STARS at soundcheck," ""in't this rad?", "don't you just love press conferences?". "were get the feeling you've bean cheated?", and "cash for chaos." You quote Biafra very much out of context from 'Califor-nis Uber Alles': "Someday I'll be president." Insultingly, but again without any substant-istion, you compare them to the Rolling Stones. You print in large and heavy type the one audience quote that echoes your own prejudices, "Shut up and sing, Jallo", giving it an authority it does not deserve. You boldly title this smear disguised as an interview "The Great Rock'n 'Roll Swindle Part II." And you pepper the rest of the mag with further examples of your malicious bias. In the intro to the article you state that the reader "can draw any conclusions. Kour message and your technique are ugly-very ugly-and the article leaves we wondering not about the DKs motives, but about your motives. Why are you so hell-bent on attacking this particular group? Why do they get all the blame for the conformity of the audience? Why do the DKs, of all people, symbolize to you all that is wrong with the punk scene? Your article is long on wenom, short on explanations. You claim you "Tike their records," you think they "play well live", You go to the press conference, You do complain about the DKs being "stars" and "leaders", but could it be that YOU helped to put that guil between

With a standerous chapt canting it and it sure looks it) why carry it out in the disguise of reviewing/interviewing to inform the music community? You say you"don't need a spokesman to judge things" for you. But in the mirror you'll see the ditor of a zine who thinks his readers need a spokesman to judge things-namely the DKs- for them. And use the same mirror for you comments on "hypocrisy" and "little facism". You encourage readers not to follow the "punk party line." Yet you're feeding us the Boz party line when you write and publish this propa-ganda instead of considered, reasoned opinions and informed analysis. Articles like this do nothing to crarify the issues of the punk scene; they obscure them. You say you're not in cahoots with J.Craw-ford. But you gave him the text of your DKs interview before publishing it, so that he could work quotes from it into his "comic" strip and you prime the result facing the interview it-terest the state the state the strip and you prime the result facing the interview it-terest the state the state the state the strip and you prime the result facing the interview it-terest the state the interview it-terest the state the state the state the state the state the state you prime the result facing the interview it-terest the state the stat

It's

for Paddy -0!

like Y'Know, The Clash

self. Crawford's cartoons have never yet been either funny or thought-provoking but they con-tinue to scale new heights of hatefulness and spitefulness, this example being no exception. Well, that's his problem. My point is that you did indeed coordinate your persecution of the DKs with his, and if that's not "in cahoots" I don't know what is. I've never met the Dead Kannedies and know of their activities only through records and magazines. Personally, I find much that is debateable in the various decisions they have made regarding their music and their careers. You almost raised some points well worth dis-cussing, but they all got lost in a flood of vindictive, neurotic sewage. No matter how debateable the Dks may be, you've no excuse at all for treating them like this. You don't gain sympathy for your views, only utter contempt, at least as far as this reader is concerned. I feel you owe the DKs, as well as all your readers, an apology for this mess. I hope I'm not the only one to ask it. Yours. Perry Webb I hope I'm r Yours, Perry Webb Houston, TX

Love Letters

Thank for the latter Perry. We found it most entertaining. The fact of the matter is that the DKs have made their bed, now they're gonna have to lie in it. Besides that there are a bunch of bands that can blow 'em off the stage anytime and if you'd stop to remove your head from inside your ass for a moment maybe you'd see the humor (and thought-provkiness) in Grawford's cartoons. Sometimes humor is the only thing we got, ya dig'-ED...,P.S. Do ya want to write for Sublapse? Your style ain't half bad if you'd loosen up a bit.

Relapse: What a wasted interview three pages on the incredibly overrated Boach Motel, just seemed : like a wasted effort. They have nothing sig-nificant to say, in that everyone already knows. So what if they gave a Slamfest and noone came. The Boaches and Slime could play and review each other how's that for cohesive Florida scene. I'll just wait for the plastic interest to die and see them at the Arcadia Rodeo, that should be interesting and don't they know there is no god. And for added interest: every dog has it's day. D.D.



Dear Sublapse, This isn't a straightedge sermon, BUT I find Crank a very offensive band: I've seen them twice (unluckly) and tey seem to be glamorizing junk-ies. When I paid \$3 at Plynns I fell like I was contributing to their next dime bag. I felt shame when I saw Denise's writeup (MRR#8) that bands such as these hail from Florida. "Shit Mother-fucker, life's enough of a bitch! CAN get en-ough to scratch your shit!" Sharon Israel Hollywood, Fl. Hollywo

Barry. Much thanks for the latest SUBLAPSE and your letter. The zine is unusually thought-provoking this time, thanks mainly to the continuing phenomenon of the anti-political contingent's coming out of the woodwork. Russ Avery's (of Slime, I gather) slagging of: Sadistic Exploits and the Proletarist is laughable: "stupid bands who think politics are important." (At least JCrawford, with whom I have some serious disagreements, goes to the trouble of being thoughtful and raising serious questions about punks and politics.) And Michael Keenig's studied efforts at iconclasm re the Boston scene are becoming less annoying and more pathetic. So the Proletariat (again) are "fuckin' terrible" and are "commise", eh, Mike? Too bad nobody told guitarist Frank Michaels-see the interview with the group in MRR "9. (Now that the Proletariat's scorching lp, SCMA HOILMY, is finally out, I've gotta wonder whether they're going to be the next band to "guest' in "Baboon Dooley," a LaMC and the Bosking of whom. I found your article/ interview re the DKs quite interesting, Having seen he band in Phoenix twice within the past year, I must second your observation that their sigs are genuine events, pulling in tons of people one otherwise never sees a shows or anywhere else. And the questions you raised at the end of your article about possible DK "rock-tarism" are valid points. I'm more dubious, however, toward your comments about "this follow the leader stuff" and Jello as a "spokesmen." I' think that's more a comment on the band's <u>aud-tione</u> than the band itself. Some people prefer tro norvinced that Jello has deliberately though masses. Most other hardcore goes down the escholes a lot easier, judging from PLASTIC SUGERY DISASTERS...Should the man perform anon-mousty with his head in a sack so as to mitigate the inevitable results of his reputation and (yes) charisma? On to other things...I can't say I've heard the wonthe has dictated an increasing interest

ymously with his head in a sack so as to mitigate the inevitable results of his reputation and (yea) charisma? On to other things...I can't say I've heard White Flag's lp, actually. My mood these past few months has dictated an increasing interest in British punk, particularly the snarchist bands (Rudimentary Peni, Conflict, Subhumans, Amebix, Flux of Pink Indians), with a corres-pondingly decreasing interest in the US (with notable exceptions like the Proletarist). Due no doubt to my personal bisses, I'm one of those silly vegetarian anarchists Teaco Vee laughs about in your interview with him. Most American HC 'seems to be significantly lacking these days, in sharp contrast to lots of the British stuff. And that's in direct contrast to my feelings eix months ago, ironically. Part of it, no doubt, is the problem you mentioned in your ed-itorial-too damed many generic hardcore bands with nothing new or interesting to say (and I freely admit that lots of so-celled political bands fit in this category: "Puck Reagan! Fuck Reagan!" is just as unimaginative as "Skate! Thrash! Party!") Luckly, groups like Prole-tarist, Necro, Social Distortion, SS Decontrol, Angry Samoans, and others-including Tucson's Conflict, whose lp LAST HOUR is certainly worth getting-still stand out. Anyway, take cere... Dan Balley Tempe, AZ

Dan-I don't think it's necessarily a question of being political vs. anti-political in punk music. Certainly there's room for everything... Let's bring back a little more creativity in punk music, I feel that's more important than anything else. Check out the White Flag inter-view (also the 1p-s must!) who have some inter-esting viewpoints mixed in with their silliness. I'm not saying I agree or disagree with what they say (that's not the point) but they're definitly helping to put a little more "anarchy" back into punk.-ED-

